

Outer Hebrides CLLD LAG Member Project Assessment

Application of the Matrix:

*The Outer Hebrides CLLD scoring matrix utilises both eligibility and technical criteria, with **each element weighted by the LAG with a total of 100% for each of the 2 categories***

*The matrix sets out how Project Scores are to be applied: A '**weighted**' total score of **25(?)** is required for a project to pass and obtain approval.*

Project scores of '1'	<i>are more likely to stimulate project conditions or changes to the project before an offer is made.</i>
Project scores of '2'	<i>the project generally meets the programme criteria – though there could be room for improvement</i>
Project scores of '3'	<i>the project satisfactorily meets the criteria and a good example of a CLLD project.</i>

The overall average weighted score will decide the official LAG funding decision.

Project Name:	
OH CLLD Project Ref No:	
Specific area benefitting:	
LAG Meeting Date/ Date Decision Required by:	
LAG Member Name:	
LAG Member Organisation:	
Score Awarded:	

Member Comments	<i>Please insert your comments relating to your completed project assessment. Include any advice or conditions and recommendations that should be attached to the grant aid (if approved) before the award offer is confirmed.</i>

Eligibility Criteria	<u>Assessment Criteria</u>	weighting	<u>High (3)</u>	<u>Medium (2)</u>	<u>Low (1)</u>	<u>None (0)</u> (no evidence supporting criteria)	Project Score	Actual Weighted Score	
	Strategic Fit E1	Extent to which the project aligns and delivers against the CLLD other National and local strategies		There is clear fit with at least 2 CLLD aims and clear links with related activities or strategies	There is fit with 2 CLLD aims however there is minimal link with related activity or strategies	There is fit with 1 CLLD aims. No link with related activity or strategies	Criteria not met		
	Project Aims and Objectives E2	The extent to which the project has shown that the aims and objectives of its actions or activities will provide a benefit or satisfy an existing or new need in the community		Aims and objectives clearly show the benefits and that needs will be met. Purpose of project is easily identified.	Aims and objectives demonstrate benefits and need for the project.	Project purpose will satisfy some needs and aims	Criteria not met		
	Return on Investment E3	Extent to which the project will deliver positive economic benefits – including leverage of funding, economic growth, job creation/retention and rural development		Clear demonstration of a high value contribution and significant economic benefits including leverage against level of investment, economic growth and rural development	Demonstrated return on investment proportionate to level of investment sought.	Return on investment will be low and added value is unclear for economic rural development	Criteria not met		
	Equality E4	Extent to which the project has considered and can demonstrate a positive impact for groups identified as vulnerable to exclusion or hard to reach - e.g. young people, elderly and disabled, business community, carers often women, communities which have had little engagement with CLLD, people on low wages, ethnic minority groups.		Clear demonstration of equality consideration in project development and strong, measurable and direct impact for vulnerable and hard to reach groups	Demonstration of equality consideration in project and measurable impact for vulnerable and hard to reach groups	Some impact demonstrated for vulnerable and hard to reach groups but not directly measurable	Criteria not met		

	Partnership and collaborative working Extent to which project has identified, engaged and involved appropriate delivery partners to maximise impact and ensure broad based buy in and support to avoid duplication of effort E5	The project is delivered by a comprehensive stakeholder partnership across sectors to ensure effective project delivery and demonstrate best practice	The project is delivered through a partnership of stakeholders to ensure successful delivery	The applicant has identified partners which have agreed to engage in delivery of the project to ensure successful delivery	Criteria not met		
	Engagement, demonstration of need, support and additionality Extent to which project has comprehensive stakeholder buy in and evidence of demand, participation or ownership. E6	There is strong rationale and evidence of stakeholder analysis with key stakeholders supportive of and involved in the project plus need of CLLD funding	There is sound and adequate rationale and evidence (consultations, statistics, research) that key stakeholders have been engaged and involved and support the project and need for funding assistance	There is some limited anecdotal or out of date evidence that key stakeholders have been engaged and involved and support the project and CLLD assistance	Criteria not met		
	Total Eligibility Criteria Score						
	Organisational Competence T1 Extent to which the right level of resources with the necessary skills and organisational capability are in place and effective with a robust delivery plan	The organisation has a well-established track record of project management/delivery in this area and has evidenced its capability to deliver	The organisation has a known track record of project management /delivery in this area and has presented some evidence of capability to deliver	The organisation has presented some evidence of experience and capability to deliver in this field	Criteria not met		
	Outputs T2 Degree of certainty that the projected outputs for the project are deliverable, measurable, achievable and justifiable to the amount of grant aid sought.	There are clear and convincing reasons to trust the project projections as presented	The assumptions underpinning the project projections are well founded	There is limited evidence presented that projections are deliverable, achievable or measurable.	Criteria not met		

	Local Benefits / Spin Offs Extent to which the project provides additional benefits and positive spin offs to the community or Outer Hebrides	T3	Clearly evidenced benefits and spin offs that will provide a positive impact to the local area	Benefits and Spin offs have been identified and clear	Limited justifiable benefits and spin offs identified	Criteria not met		
	Risk Management Has the project effectively assessed all known or potential risk factors?	T4	All factors have been clearly assessed	Main risks have been assessed	Not enough relevant risks assessed	Criteria not met		
							Total Technical Criteria Scores	
						TOTAL Assessment Scores (Eligibility and Technical Criteria)		

NOTES

