Section 1 - The Policy						
Policy title	ECS BUDGET SAVINGS 2024 – Education and School Leadership Restructure					
Implementation date	April 2024					
Please provide an over	view of the policy including the key aims and expected outcomes:					
Restructuring of the Ex	ecutive Head Teacher (HT) model in primary schools to move to a consistent service					
model of Quality Improvement Officers (QIO).						
Detail the budget impl	ication relating to the policy:					
This proposal aims to sa	ave £60,000 in the 2024-25 financial year. This equates to a saving of 3.7% of the					
total controllable budget for the service.						
What will change as a	result of this policy?					
The policy would involv	e changes to the roles of Executive HT to create a Quality Improvement structure that					
is consistent across the	ECS department and all CNES schools. It will also require equivalent restructuring of					
HT/Head of School posts.						
Indicate which groups	of people will be, or potentially could be, impacted upon by the implementation of					
this policy?						
Executive HTs						
Headteachers (Primary						
Heads of School (Primary)						
Responsible Persons						
Department / Section	Education and Children's Services					
Lead Officer	Donald Macleod					
IIA Team Members	Donald Macleod, Mary Clare Ferguson, Louise Smith					
Responsible Head of Service	Chief Officer for ECs					
Section 2 - Evidence						
What data and information has been reviewed as part of the policy development process?						
Job Description & Person Specification of Executive HTs and Heads of School						
Informal feedback from HTs/HoS, Attainment Advisor and other service officers.						
Detail what consultation has taken place as part of the policy development process?						
Initial scoping consultation with Executive HTs.						

What does the information collected and the outcome of any consultation indicate regarding potential impacts of the policy?

There will be some changes in the model of support and challenge provided to schools, with a shift in focus more towards challenge and service improvement. Reinstatement of HT Hubs will replace the forum for collegiate support.

Are there any gaps in knowledge? If further evidence or consultation required as part of the policy development process please detail:

Structured consultation required with all affected staff. Report to Local Negotiating Committee for Teachers (LNCT) etc.

Section 3 - Initial Integrated Impact Assessment				
Impact Overview	Yes	No		
Is the policy strategic?	~			
Will individuals have access to, or be denied access to a service or function as a result of the policy or the changes being proposed to services or functions?		~		
Will the implementation of the policy, or the changes to the policy, result in individuals being employed, a change in staffing levels, or a change in terms and conditions, employer or location, either directly or indirectly?	~			
Is there a change in the size of a budget?	~			
Will a service be withdrawn, changed or expanded?	~			
Will the policy have consequences for or affect people?	~			
Does the policy have the potential to have an impact on equality even when this only affects a relatively small number of people?		~		
Does the policy have the potential to have an impact on the economy and the delivery of economic outcomes?		~		
Is the policy likely to have a significant environmental impact?		\checkmark		

Comments

The policy has a strategic focus relating to redesign of the structure of senior officers in the service to deliver on our strategic improvement outcomes. It involves changing the roles of Executive HTs and Heads of School to create a consistent QIO structure across the education service. The current model does not provide full coverage of all schools and has been focused more closely on collegiate support that on service challenge. The refocusing of the service on quality improvement is critical in meeting national expectations within the National Improvement Framework. The policy is proposed to reduce the budget by approx. £60,000. The policy will impact on staff currently in roles likely to be affected by the proposed changes, as detailed above.

Section 3 – - Initial Integrated Impact Assessment						
Continued						
		[
Which groups of people will be, or		Na	Commente			
potentially could be, impacted upon		No	Comments			
by the implementation of this policy?		✓				
age						
disability		\checkmark				
sex		✓ ✓				
gender reassignment		✓				
marriage and civil partnership		✓				
pregnancy and maternity		✓				
race		\checkmark				
religion or belief		\checkmark				
sexual orientation		\checkmark				
Do you have evidence or reason to	Yes	No	Comments			
believe that this policy will or may						
impact on socio-economic						
inequalities?						
Socio-economic Disadvantage		\checkmark				
Low Income/Income Poverty		✓				
Low and/or no wealth		\checkmark				
Material Deprivation		\checkmark				
Area Deprivation		✓				
Socioeconomic Background		\checkmark				
Do you have evidence or reason to		No	Comments			
believe that this policy will or may						
affect individuals Human Rights?						
Human Rights		~				
Do you have evidence or reason to	Yes	No	Comments			
believe that this policy will have wider						
impacts as outlined below?						
Health and Wellbeing		 ✓ 				
Economic and Social Sustainability		✓				
Environmental Sustainability, Climate		~				
Change and Energy Management						
The Gaelic Language		✓				
Island Proofing		✓				
Is there the potential for cumulative		~				
impact?						



Initial Integrated Impact Assessment Form

Section 4 - Decision						
Based on the initial Integrated Impact Assessment is a full IIA required?						
Yes						
Full IIA Lead Officer:						
Estimated completion date:						
No x						
If an IIA is deemed not to be required please detail the decision rational below						
The proposal involves internal restructuring and redeployment of staff with matching and re-jobsizing that will all be completed in line with requirements of LNCT and under the governance of CWP (?) and HR Sub-Committee. It does not have service implications that would require a full IIA.						
Section 5 - Lead Officer and authorising Director						
Lead Officer (print name)	Donald Macleod					
Designation	Chief Officer for ECS					
Date	19/02/24					
Print name of Head of Service or	Donald Macleod					
Director responsible for the policy						
Date	19/02/24					

